

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/844,051 | | 04/27/2001 | William A. Weber | 2001B035 | 2702 |
| 23455 | 7590 | 05/12/2003 | | | |
| EXXONMO P O BOX 214 | | CHEMICAL COMPA | EXAMINER | | |
| | TOWN, TX 77522-2149 | | | DANG, THUAN D | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1764 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 4Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is-approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. 150 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. 151 Acknowledgment is | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Examiner Thuan D. Dang - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Exements at time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1136(d). In no ecraf, however, may a reply be timer time to available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1136(d) in no ecraf, however, may a reply be timer time to available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1136(d). In no ecraf, however, may a reply be timer time to available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1136(d) in no ecraf, however, may a reply be timer time to the state of the provision of the p |]- | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
| Thus n.D. Dang This Art Unit Thus n.D. Dang This Art Unit Thus n.D. Dang The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A. S. HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elements for reply specified above is assistent with the correspondence address is an experimental to the correspondence of th | | Office Action Commence | 09/844,051 | WEBER ET AL. | | |
| Period for Regly A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified shore is liss than high (30) days, a reply within the alkentury inferrant of thiny (30) days will be considered truely. If the period for reply specified shore is liss than high (30) days, a reply within the alkentury inferrant of thiny (30) days will be considered truely. If the period for reply specified shore is liss than high (30) days, a reply within the alkentury inferrant on the considered truely. If the period for reply specified days be the maintenance of the specified to become ASMAROBORD (34) S. § 133). A reply vectored by the Office lister than hince does not reply with principle of the post principle of the period of the pe | j | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Betaficiator of am may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely litted she shall be a she shall be application of 37 CPR 1.13(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely litted she shall be application to the provision of the | | | Thuan D. Dang | 1764 | | |
| Extension of man may be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1-138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be simily filed | Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | |
| This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. 12) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 21) All b) Some * c) None of: 12) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 31) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e | - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS | be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. If from the mailing date of this communication. | | |
| This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. 12) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 21) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 21) All b) Some * c) None of: 12) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 31) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e | 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M | March 2003 | | | |
| 3 | 2a)⊠ | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 4-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 3) Claim(s) is/are objected to. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attrached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Itachment(s) | | | | e procedution as 4- 45- | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-822) | ,— | closed in accordance with the practice under i | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 | 1, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Signature of the priority documents have been received. Claim(s) is/are allowed. | 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 4-16 is/are pending in the application | • | | | |
| Signature of the priority documents have been received. Claim(s) is/are allowed. | • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Z. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: Papert and Trademark Office. | . — | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. tatchment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>4-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 9) ☐ Other: Papent and Trademary Office 2005 Acknowledgment is made of a Claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 10 Other: | 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Office Active Oraftsperson's Orafter Application (PTO-152) | 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | Application | on Papers | 4 | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | 9)[] 7 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | 10)∏ ד | he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept | ted or b) objected to by the E | Examiner. | | |
| 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance | . See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Ali b) Some * c) None of: 1. | 11)∐ T | he proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disap | proved by the Examiner. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \(\overline{I} \). Office Action Reference Cited (PTO-1420) Paper No(s) \(\overline{I} \). Office Action Reference Cited (PTO-1420) Paper No(s) \(\overline{I} \). | | | | | | |
| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Ittachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Sol (Rev. 04-01) | 12)∐ T | he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) I. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Patent and Trademark Office 2-326 (Rev. 04-01) | Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) I. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Patent and Trademark Office 2-326 (Rev. 04-01) | 13) 🗌 🛚 | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7. Patent and Trademark Office 3. Copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application (PTO-152) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7. Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01) | • | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7. Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01) | 2 | <u></u> | | cation No. | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7. Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Astion 2 | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7. Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Astice Communication has been received. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other: | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7. Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other: | a) 15)∐ Ad | The translation of the foreign language provex cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | isional application has been r | received | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7. Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action Countries The Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Office Action Countries Office Action Countries The Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other: | | | | | | |
| 0-326 (Rev. 04-01) | 2) Notice 3) Informa | of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7. | 5) Notice of Inform | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |
| Utilice Action Summary | Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev. | 04.04) | on Summary | Part of Paper No. 11 | | |

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 4-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 4, the added term "essentially" is a new matter since in the specification does not define the meaning of the term and how much of the amount of sulfided hydrogenation metal is covered by this term (see the entire the specification of this application; namely, page 6, lines 26-27).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1764

Regarding claim 4, the term "essential free" is indefinite since as argued by applicants on page 4 the paragraph next to the last one, "essentially free" means that "any amount is minimal". If so, what amount of "sulfided hydrogenation metal" present in the claimed catalyst is considered to be minimal so that the catalyst is considered to me "essentially free" of sulfide hydrogenation metal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 1764

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4-10 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suggitt (3,780,123).

Suggitt discloses a process of disproportionation of cumene in the presence of a MOR catalyst to produce a product containing benzene and a mixture of disopropylbenzene.

It appears that Suggitt discloses using a feed containing no benzene (see the entire patent for details).

On column 8, lines 47-55, Suggitt mentions that the catalyst may contain a very small amount of metals (see the above 112 rejection).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Suggitt process by making catalysts containing very small amount of VIII metals such as 0.2 wt % or even less than 0.2 wt % so that it is considered to be "essentially free" to arrive at the applicants' claimed process since it is expected that the Suggitt catalysts containing any amount of VIII metals provided that there is the presence of VIII metals in the catalyst has similar activities (col. 8, lines 47-49).

It appears that Suggitt does not disclose a mordenite as called for in claim 8. However, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Suggitt process by selecting a mordenite as called for in claim 8 since it is expected that using any MOR for the Suggitt process yields similar results.

The condition of the process can be found on column 4, lines 17-34.

Art Unit: 1764

The product as called for in claims 14-16 is only a result of a Suggist process which is operated in the presence of substantially the same catalyst.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suggitt (3,780,123) in view of Calabro et al (6,049,018)

Suggitt discloses a process as discussed above.

Suggitt does not disclose that the cumene is produced from an initial step of alkylating benzene with propylene and benzene is recycled to the alkylation step (see the entire patent to Suggitt for details). However, Calabro discloses an alkylation of benzene with propylene for production of cumene (col. 7, lines 26-32).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Suggitt process by incorporating the alkylation step of Calabro into the Suggitt process and using the cumene produced by the Calabro alkylation step since it is expected that using any source of cumene yield similar products.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Suggitt process having been incorporated with the alkylation of Calabro by recycling any benzene in the disproportionation product to the alkylation to increase the production.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/12/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1764

The argument that the specification makes clear on page 6, lines 26 and 27, that no sulfided hydrogenation is intended or **any amount present would be minimal** is not persuasive since this teaching only disclose that "the molecular sieve used in the process of the invention does **not** contain the sulfided hydrogenation metal disclosed in U.S. patent 3,780,123". This teaching is not the definition for the term "essentially free". This teaching only exclude metals disclosed by that patent, not other metals in other patents. Further, this teaching is clearly inconsistent with the argument raised by applicants "any amount present would be minimal" (see above).

The argument that present claims require neither sulfided hydrogenation metal is not persuasive since as argued by applicants (see the above response by the examiner), the claimed catalyst contains a minimal amount of sulfided hydrogenation metal.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/844,051 Page 7 Art Unit: 1764 however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan D. Dang whose telephone number is 703-305-2658. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

> Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

928440512nd May 9, 2003

A. C.